## **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 59-05-01-06-0000

Name: Fallsburg Central School District

Superintendent: Walter Milton Jr

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	106	114	120
First	87	103	113
Second	113	93	93
Third	87	111	104
Fourth	106	101	118
Fifth	120	101	100
Sixth	116	146	108
Ungraded Elementary	13	0	0
Seventh	124	131	156
Eighth	95	112	138
Ninth	127	108	124
Tenth	97	111	95
Eleventh	68	84	94
Twelfth	82	80	82
Ungraded Secondary	1	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1342	1395	1445

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	200	2001–02 2002–03 2003–04		3–04		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	33	2.5%	24	1.7%	26	1.8%
Black (Not Hispanic)	267	19.9%	278	19.9%	263	18.2%
Hispanic	238	17.7%	252	18.1%	286	19.8%
White (Not Hispanic)	804	59.9%	841	60.3%	870	60.2%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Kindergarten	21	19	20
Common Branch	20	20	20
English Grade 8	19	21	25
Mathematics Grade 8	20	21	25
Science Grade 8	20	21	25
Social Studies Grade 8	16	22	25
English Grade 10	17	17	18
Mathematics Grade 10	20	18	16
Science Grade 10	14	24	18
Social Studies Grade 10	18	20	18

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
<b>Limited English Proficient</b>	66	4.9%	64	4.6%	84	5.8%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	576	42.9%	653	46.8%	635	43.9%	

**Attendance and Suspension** 

•	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of % of		No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		92.9%		92.7%		92.6%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	181	13.4%	173	12.9%	154	11.0%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.0%	9.9%	10.9%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	125
Total Other Professional Staff	22
Total Paraprofessionals	29
Teaching Out of Certification*	5

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

		2001–02			2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	70	37	53%	56	33	59%	63	37	59%	
Students with Disabilities	2	0	0%	6	3	50%	4	0	0%	
All Students	72	37	51%	62	36	58%	67	37	55%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	26	27	0	3	11	0
Percent	39%	40%	0%	4%	16%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

1 turns of of fing	i senooi compi	ccci s with Distrib	1111105 III 2000 0	
Graduates*	Regents Diplomas	IEP Diplomas or Certificates	All 2003–04 Completers	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(a+c)	
4	0	4	8	

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	2001–02		2002–03		2003-04	
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	3		10		14	4.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	2		11		22	6.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	5		21		36	10.3%
Students	Dropped Out	0		2		1	1.4%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		5	6.8%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		2		6	8.1%
All	Dropped Out	3	0.8%	12	3.1%	15	3.5%
Students	Entered GED Program*	2	0.5%	11	2.9%	27	6.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	5	1.3%	23	6.0%	42	9.9%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	335	389	341
<i>(</i> 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	61
6–8	Number of All Students	335	389	402
	Percent of Enrollment	99%	100%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	374	383	332
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	47
9–12	Number of All Students	374	383	379
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	96%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Brogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	13		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	13	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	13	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	13	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	13		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	13	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	1	50%	19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Tont	2001–02		2003	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	71	92%	84	71%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Tont	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	3	#	

## **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	2	#	13	92%	3	#
Science	0	0%	2	#	1	#
Reading	1	#	4	#	0	0%
Writing	1	#	4	#	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	6	50%	3	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	5	80%	0	0%

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Students with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	2	#	6	100%	2	#			
Science	1	#	1	#	2	#			
Reading	0	0%	2	#	0	0%			
Writing	0	0%	2	#	0	0%			
Global Studies	0	0%	5	60%	5	20%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	4	#	0	0%			

(Form - E)

	regents		1100010110			
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	79	60	67	4	3	4
Number Scoring 55–100	76	56	59	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	67	55	50	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	27	13	16	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	93%	88%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	92%	75%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	22%	24%	#	#	#
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	54	81	106	0	8	11
Number Scoring 55–100	49	43	99	0	2	9
Number Scoring 65–100	45	28	87	0	1	5
Number Scoring 85–100	17	2	11	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	53%	93%	0%	25%	82%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	35%	82%	0%	12%	45%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	2%	10%	0%	0%	0%
		athematics B				
Number Tested	17	42	40	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	14	23	31	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	12	13	19	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	1	4	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	82%	55%	78%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	31%	47%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	2%	10%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	69	86	84	7	5	6
Number Scoring 55–100	68	65	67	7	2	6
Number Scoring 65–100	56	54	46	1	0	2
Number Scoring 85–100	10	13	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	76%	80%	100%	40%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	63%	55%	14%	0%	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	14%	15%	12%	0%	0%	0%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	nment			
Number Tested	51	83	71	3	6	3
Number Scoring 55–100	45	78	67	#	4	#
Number Scoring 65–100	33	68	56	#	3	#
Number Scoring 85–100	9	19	18	#	1	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	94%	94%	#	67%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	65%	82%	79%	#	50%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	23%	25%	#	17%	#

(Form - F)

		All Students	<b>S</b>	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	85	26	98	6	4	10
Number Scoring 55–100	84	25	85	6	#	7
Number Scoring 65–100	76	21	73	4	#	5
Number Scoring 85–100	19	2	17	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	96%	87%	100%	#	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	81%	74%	67%	#	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	8%	17%	0%	#	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	46	36	37	1	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	46	36	36	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	44	31	32	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	15	9	7	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	97%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	86%	86%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	25%	19%	#	#	#
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	44	35	44	1	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	42	33	38	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	29	27	31	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	2	3	3	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	94%	86%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	66%	77%	70%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	5%	9%	7%	#	0%	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			15			0
Number Scoring 55–100			13			0
Number Scoring 65–100			10			0
Number Scoring 85–100			1			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			87%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			67%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			7%			0%

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre	nch			
Number Tested	21	5	4	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	21	5	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	20	5	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	7	2	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	100%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	40%	#	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	56	33	37	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	55	33	37	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	53	31	36	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	23	14	17	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	94%	97%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	41%	42%	46%	#	#	0%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	12	0	0	1	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	5	0	0	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	5	0	0	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	#	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	42%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	42%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%				

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	0	0%	0	0%	13	92%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	85	8%	12%	65%	15%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	18	39%	11%	44%	6%
	All Students	103	14%	12%	61%	14%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	98	5%	61%	32%	2%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	21	57%	43%	0%	0%
	All Students	119	14%	58%	26%	2%
						(= =)

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

		Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Tested Not Tested Le		Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#				
		Middle Le	evel							
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary 1	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	69	69	69	15	15	15	84	84	84
Number Scoring 55–64	2	0	1	3	0	0	5	0	1
Number Scoring 65–84	43	32	37	2	3	4	45	35	41
Number Scoring 85–100	9	17	18	0	1	0	9	18	18
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		Students with Disabilities								
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			35			1				
Beginning (0–18)			1			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			7			#				
Advanced (32–36)			16			#				
Proficient (37–39)			11			#				
Reading and Writing (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			35			1				
Beginning (0–14)			5			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			6			#				
Advanced (25–32)			16			#				
Proficient (33–35)			8			#				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	)						
Number Tested			23			1				
Beginning (0–18)			0			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			5			#				
Advanced (32–36)			5			#				
Proficient (37–39)			13			#				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			23			1				
Beginning (0–14)			2			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			14			#				
Advanced (25–32)			5			#				
Proficient (33–35)			2			#				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u> </u>						
Number Tested			9			0				
Beginning (0–18)			2			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			1			0				
Advanced (32–36)			2			0				
Proficient (37–39)			4			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			9			0				
Beginning (0–14)			2			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			6			0				
Advanced (25–32)			1			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

	W TOTK State En	All Students	2	Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade 7–8)										
Number Tested			12			0				
Beginning (0–18)			3			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			1			0				
Advanced (32–36)			6			0				
Proficient (37–39)			2			0				
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)	)						
Number Tested			12			0				
Beginning (0–14)			3			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			6			0				
Advanced (25–32)			2			0				
Proficient (33–35)			1			0				
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)						
Number Tested			10			0				
Beginning (0–18)			3			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			6			0				
Advanced (32–36)			1			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
Reading and Writing (Grade 9–12)										
Number Tested			10			0				
Beginning (0–14)			6			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			4			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)